

The Neurochemical and Anatomical Profile of Resilience

Presenter: Gabriel Vargas^{1,2}

Mary C. Vance,³

1. School of Systems of Biology, George Mason University, Fairfax, VA, USA 2. School of Medicine, Uniformed Services University of the Health Science, Bethesda, MD, USA

3. Health, Safety and Work-Life Service Center, United States Coast Guard, Alameda, CA

INTRODUCTION

Resilience is the ability to successfully adapt to stress and adversity. Resilient individuals are able to return to normal after being exposed to a traumatic or chronic stressor, thereby minimizing psychopathologies like PTSD, depression, and other psychiatric disorders due to trauma¹. This is especially important in populations that are subject to work-related trauma, such as military service members and first responders.

High plasma and CNS levels of Neuropeptide Y (NPY) have previously been identified as a biomarker of resilience.² Previous works demonstrate NPY is released during stress with norepinephrine to attenuate the sympathetic nervous system^{3,4}. The aim of this scoping literature review is to assess the use of Neuropeptide Y as a biomarker as well as to identify other potential neurochemical or neuroanatomical markers associated with resilience. The results from this review will provide further insight into understanding the neurobiology of resiliency and will provide the basis for assessing changes in resiliency over time. It may also identify areas where further research is needed.

METHODS

PubMed searches were performed using the search ""((Neurochemical OR neurobiological OR anatomical) AND (stress AND resilience)" for a total of 47 results. The article, "Adapting to Stress: Understanding the Neurobiology of Resilience," was used as the primary literature source. Then, nine other studies were included in the final scoping review.

RESULTS

In our review of the literature, we found 5 anatomical markers and 13 neurochemical markers that are implicated in resilient animal or human models

The neurochemical markers dopamine, norepinephrine, serotonin, cortisol, dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA), allopregnanolone (ALLO), adrenocorticotropic releasing hormone (ACTH), corticotropic releasing hormone (CRH), brain-derived neurotropic factor (BDNF), galanin, Glutamate, GABA, and endocannabinoids were all found to have correlation to resilience ... Additionally, a possible stress-resistance neurochemical profile was identified to consist of high levels of NPY, galanin, DHEA, ALLO, and low levels of CRH1

We also found anatomical markers that may have predictive power to assess resilience. The first involved an in vivo single-photo emission computerized tomography (SPECT) imaging study that showed increased striatal dopamine transporter (DAT) density in patients with PTSD when compared to traumatized controls without PTSD¹. Other anatomical markers included increased gray matter and blood flow to areas involving emotional regulation, notably the amygdala, but also the middle temporal gyrus, right ACC, and subcallosal gyrus

Regarding dynamic physiological markers that reflect resilience, we identified ACTH as a neurochemical marker that was downregulated long-term during experimental studies after exposure to stress status-post a mindfulness meditation intervention¹⁰

CONCLUSION

Based on this review, further research is needed to determine if biomarkers change with resilience. One way to study this would be to track a longitudinal cohort that is voluntarily exposed to trauma. We identified a special population exposed to voluntary trauma and training during a two-to-three-year course. The Special Forces. colloquially known as the "Green Berets," are an ideal cohort for the study because they are: organized in a cohort, well tracked, conduct a specific training regimen, exposed to controlled physical and mental trauma multiple times, and have a measurable outcome (pass vs fail). Many will then go on to be exposed to trauma while participating in combat missions around the world.

We hypothesize taking measurements of biomarkers of the cohort during multiple points of the course may reveal a dynamic set of physiologic markers that reflect resilience changes secondary to training. If there is a significant correlation, it will further support a "resilient neurochemical profile" and the possibility that certain interventions can significantly increase resilience.

Sympathetic System Hormones	
Norepinephrine (NE)	Neuropeptide Y (NPY)
Stimulus: Many, including stress Effect: Sympathetic activation Deleterious effects: "Increased regulation of brain NE systems is observed in patients with PTSD" Effect Location: CNS and serum Tested via Plasma: UNK	Stimulus: Many, including stress Effect: Attenuates sympathetic response Origin: Widely distributed in CNS Effect Location: Diffuse in CNS Tested via Plasma: Yes Correlation Type: Higher NPY is correlated with decreased PTSD risk. *Studies conducted in SERE School with promising results

PURPOSE STATEMENT

- 1. Determine if physiologic biomarkers can be used to develop a stress-resistant profile.
- 2. Contingent on 1, determine if the biomarkers in the stress-resistant profile change to a more resilient profile after an intervention. If so, the intervention would be known as stress inoculation.
- 3. Quantify the stress-inoculation effect of training interventions objectively using hormone profile.



HPA Axis

BIOMARKERS BRIEF DATA SHEET



SPECIAL FORCES QUALIFICATION COURSE (SFQC) Survival Evasion Resistance & Escape Level C Robin Sage (SERE - C) Duration: 28 days Est. Pass Rate: 85% Longest Sleep Deprivation: 48 hours Est. Pass Rate: 98% 調整 ve Sleep per night: 2 hours Longest Sleep Depriva Average Sleep: 4 hours Ave Weight Lost: 6 lbs Ave. Weight Lost: 15 lb

Test serum before and after every iteration for multiple biomarkers & conduct resiliency questionnaire. -Compare the biomarkers between three cohorts- Pass, Fail, or Voluntary Withdrawal (Quit).

Disclaimer: The opinions and assertions contained herein are those of the authors and do not reflect those of the Uniformed Services University or the Department of Defense. The authors report no conflict of interest or funding for this project.